FILE COPY



OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER CITY OF ST. LOUIS



DARLENE GREEN Comptroller

Internal Audit Section

DR. KENNETH M. STONE, CPA Internal Audit Executive Carnahan Courthouse Building 1114 Market St., Room 642 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 622-4723 Fax: (314) 613-3004

September 23, 2008

Meg Schnabel, Executive Director Redevelopment Opportunities for Women 2229 Pine St. Louis, MO 63103

RE: Review of Redevelopment Opportunities for Women, Federal Emergency Shelter Grant (FESG), Document #55747, CFDA #14.231 (Project #2008-HOM7)

Dear Ms. Schnabel:

Enclosed is a report of our fiscal monitoring review of Redevelopment Opportunities for Women, FESG Program, for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. The scope of a fiscal monitoring review is substantially less than an audit, and as such, we do not express an opinion on the financial operations of Redevelopment Opportunities for Women. Our fieldwork was completed on January 29, 2008.

This review was made under authorization contained in Section 2, Article XV of the Charter, City of St. Louis, as revised and has been conducted in accordance with the *International Standards for Professional Practice of the Internal Auditing* and through an agreement with the Department of Human Services (DHS) to provide fiscal monitoring to all grant sub-recipients.

If you have any questions, please contact the Internal Audit Section at (314) 622-4723.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kenneth M. Stone, CPA Internal Audit Executive

Houneth. M. Ston

Enclosure

cc: Patrick Brennan, Fiscal Manager, DHS

Antoinette Triplett, Program Manager II, DHS



CITY OF ST. LOUIS

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES (DHS)
HOMELESS SERVICES

REDEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR WOMEN FEDERAL EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (FESG) DOCUMENT #55747 CFDA #14.231

FISCAL MONITORING REVIEW
JANUARY 1, 2007 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2007

PROJECT #2008-HOM7

DATE ISSUED: SEPTEMBER 23, 2008

Prepared by: The Internal Audit Section



OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

HONORABLE DARLENE GREEN, COMPTROLLER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	Page(s)
INTRODUCTION	
Background	1
Purpose	1
Scope and Methodology	1
Exit Conference	1
Management's Responses	1
SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS	
Conclusion	2
Status of Prior Observations	2
A-133 Status	2
Summary of Current Observations	2
DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,	
AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES	34

PROJECT: 2008-HOM7 DATE ISSUED: SEPTEMBER 23, 2008

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Background

Contract Name:

Redevelopment Opportunities for Women

Document Number: 55747

1: 33/4/

CFDA Number:

14.231

Contract Period:

January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007

Contract Amount:

\$57,000

This contract provided Federal Emergency Shelter Grant (FESG) from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), to Redevelopment Opportunities for Women (Agency) to provide supportive services to women who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless.

Purpose

The purpose of this fiscal monitoring review was to determine Agency's compliance with federal, state and local Department of Human Services (DHS) requirements for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and make recommendations for improvements as necessary.

Scope and Methodology

We made inquiries regarding the Agency's internal controls relating to the grant administered by DHS, tested evidence supporting the reports the Agency submitted to DHS and performed other procedures considered necessary. Our fieldwork was completed on January 29, 2008.

Exit Conference

We offered the Agency the opportunity for an exit conference on January 1, 2008 but the Agency declined.

Management's Responses

Management's responses were received on May 19, 2008 and have been incorporated into this report.

SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

Conclusion

The Agency did not fully comply with federal (including OMB Circular A-133), state and local DHS requirements.

Status of Prior Observations

The Agency's most recent fiscal monitoring report dated December 29, 2006 identified the following observations:

- 1. The Agency did not submit monthly financial reports in a timely manner. (Unresolved, see Current Observation #1)
- 2. The Agency does not require two authorized signatures on checks. (Unresolved, see Current Observation #2)
- 3. Inadequate business insurance. (Resolved)

A-133 Status

PROJECT: 2008-HOM7

According to a letter received from the Agency's management dated August 18, 2007, the Agency was not required to have an A-133 audit for the period ending June 30, 2007 because it did not expend \$500,000 or more in federal funds.

Summary of Current Observations

We made recommendations for the following observations, which if implemented, could assist the Agency in fully complying with federal, state, and local DHS requirements.

- 1. The Agency did not submit monthly financial reports in a timely manner
- 2. The Agency does not require two authorized signatures on checks.

2

<u>DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,</u> <u>AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES</u>

1. The Agency Did Not Submit Monthly Financial Reports in a Timely Manner

The DHS policy guidelines require the Agency to submit monthly financial reports by the 15th day of the month following the reporting month.

The Agency's monthly financial reports for July, August and September 2007 were submitted late. They were submitted on October 15, 2007. These reports should have been submitted by the 15th of August, September and October 2007.

By submitting the monthly financial reports late, the agency is not in compliance with the DHS Policy Guidelines. The non-compliance with the DHS policy guidelines may delay the Agency's reimbursements or result in the suspension of the grant funding.

Recommendation

We recommend the Agency to comply with DHS requirements and submit financial reports by the deadline.

Management's Response

The contract is received incredibly late in the year. As a result, many of our invoices are submitted late. Once we have our signed contract, our Agency will submit monthly financial reports by the 15th of every month.

2. The Agency Did Not Require Two Authorized Signatures on Checks

DHS policy guidelines require the Agency to have two authorized personnel sign all the checks.

The Agency did not require two authorized signatures on the checks.

Funds may be spent improperly or misappropriated when only one individual signs disbursement checks.

<u>DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,</u> <u>AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES</u>

2. Continued ...

Recommendation

We recommend the Agency comply with DHS policy guidelines and require two authorized signatures for all checks. The Agency may also request a waiver from DHS.

Management's response

We have requested a waiver on this policy in 2006. We do require two signatures on all checks over \$10,000. We do not, currently, have the internal management staff to comply. A detailed expense ledger is provided to and reviewed by the finance committee on a monthly basis. It is also made available at monthly board meetings.